


REMARKS

By an Office Action dated September 24, 2001 the Examiner indicated that the statement imported into the specification was incorrect and that the residue should be numbered 94 and 95 rather than 95 and 96. The Examiner is correct in that amendment to the specification is made above.

It is believed that this rejection cures all deficiencies remaining in the prosecution of this patent application and that allowance of the application is therefore appropriate. A separate petition for extension of time is submitted herewith so that this response will be considered as timely filed.

Respectfully submitted,



Nicholas J. Seay
Reg. No. 27,386
Attorney for Applicant
QUARLES & BRADY LLP
P O Box 2113
Madison, WI 53701-2113

TEL 608/251-5000
FAX 608/251-9166

QBMAD\327659.1